

## METRIZABILITY OF SUBGROUPS OF FREE TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

SIDNEY A. MORRIS AND H.B. THOMPSON

It is shown that any sequential subgroup of a free topological group is either sequential of order  $\omega_1$  or discrete. Hence any metrizable subgroup of a free topological group is discrete.

### 1. Introduction

It is known that a free topological group is metrizable if and only if it is discrete. Ordman and Smith-Thomas [9] generalized this to show that any non-discrete free topological group which is sequential, is sequential of order  $\omega_1$ . We extend this much further by showing that any sequential subgroup of a free (free abelian) topological group is either discrete or sequential of order  $\omega_1$ . Thus any metrizable (or even Frechet) subgroup of a free (free abelian) topological group is discrete. We do this by showing that if a subgroup  $G$  of a free (free abelian) topological group has a non-trivial sequence  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  converging to  $e$  and  $G$  contains the free (free abelian) topological group on  $(\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{y_i\}\} \cup \{e\})$  and hence also contains the Arhangel'skii-Franklin space  $S_{\omega}$  [1,9] which is sequential of order  $\omega_1$ . This observation also answers

---

Received 2 May 1985.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/86  
\$A2.00 + 0.00.

Question 3.10 of [9] in the affirmative.

## 2. Preliminaries

**DEFINITIONS.** Let  $X$  be a topological space with distinguished point  $e$ , and  $F(X)$  a topological group which contains  $X$  as a subspace and has  $e$  as its identity element. Then  $F(X)$  is said to be the *Graev free (free abelian) topological group on  $X$*  if for any continuous map  $\phi$  of  $X$  into any topological (abelian topological) group  $H$  such that  $\phi(e)$  is the identity element of  $H$ , there exists a unique continuous homomorphism  $\Phi : F(X) \rightarrow H$  with  $\Phi|_X = \phi$ .

For a recent survey of free topological groups see [8].

**DEFINITION.** We say  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are the *essential elements* of the word  $w \in F(X)$  if each  $x_i \in X$  and  $w \in gp\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  but  $w \notin gp\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}\}$  for any proper subset  $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}\}$  of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ .

The following definitions and examples are based on Franklin [3,4]. See also Engelking [2].

**DEFINITIONS.** A subset  $U$  of a topological space  $X$  is said to be *sequentially open* if each sequence converging to a point in  $U$  is eventually in  $U$ . The space  $X$  is said to be *sequential* if each sequentially open subset of  $X$  is open.

**Remarks.** A closed subspace of a sequential space is sequential. A subspace of a sequential space need not be sequential (See Example 1.2 of [3].)

**DEFINITIONS.** For each subset  $A$  of a sequential space  $X$ , let  $s(A)$  denote the set of all limits of sequences of points of  $A$ . The space  $X$  is said to be *sequential of order 1* if  $s(A)$  is the closure of  $A$  for every  $A$ .

The higher sequential orders are defined by induction. Let  $s_0(A) = A$ , and for each ordinal  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ , let  $s_\alpha(A) = s(s_\beta(A))$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, let  $s_\alpha(A) = \bigcup \{s_\beta(A) : \beta < \alpha\}$ . The *sequential order* of  $X$  is defined to be the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $s_\alpha(A)$  is the closure of  $A$  for every subset  $A$  of  $X$ .

**Remarks.** The sequential order always exists and does not exceed the first uncountable ordinal  $\omega_1$ . Sequential spaces of order 1 are also known as *Frechet spaces*. Clearly any metrizable space is a Frechet space however there exist sequential spaces which are not Frechet and Frechet spaces which are not metrizable. Indeed, for each ordinal  $\alpha \leq \omega_1$  there exists a sequential space of that order. The key example is due to Arhangel'skii and Franklin [1].

By  $S_1$  we mean a space consisting of a single convergent sequence  $s_1, s_2, \dots$ , together with its limit point  $s_0$  taken as the basepoint.

The space  $S_2$  is obtained from  $S_1$  by attaching to each isolated point  $s_n$  of  $S_1$  a sequence  $s_{n,1}, s_{n,2}, \dots$ , converging to  $s_n$ . Thus  $S_2$  can be viewed as a quotient of a disjoint union of convergent sequences; we give it the quotient topology. Inductively, we obtain the space  $S_{n+1}$  from  $S_n$  by attaching a convergent sequence to each isolated point of  $S_n$  and giving the resultant set the quotient topology.

Let  $S_\omega$  be the union of the sets  $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset S_3 \subset \dots$ , with the weak union topology (a subset of  $S_\omega$  is closed if and only if its intersection with each  $S_n$  is closed in the topology of  $S_n$ ).

It is shown in [1] that each  $S_n$  is sequential of order  $n$  and  $S_\omega$  is sequential of order  $\omega_1$ .

**DEFINITION.** Let  $F(X)$  be the Graev free (free abelian) topological group on a Tychonoff space  $X$  and  $Y$  a subset of  $F(X)$ . Then  $Y$  is said to be *regularly situated with respect to  $X$*  if for each positive integer  $n$  there exists an integer  $m$  such that  $gp(Y) \cap F_n(X) \subseteq gp_m(Y)$ , where  $gp(Y)$  denotes the subgroup generated by  $Y$ ,  $F_n(X)$  denotes the set of all words in  $F(X)$  of length  $\leq n$  with respect to  $X$ , and  $gp_m(Y)$  denotes the set of all words in  $gp(Y)$  of length  $\leq m$  with respect to  $Y$ .

**THEOREM A.** [Graev, 5] *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $Y$  a compact subspace of  $F(X)$  containing  $e$ . If  $Y \setminus \{e\}$  is a free algebraic basis for  $gp(Y)$  and  $Y$  is regularly situated with respect to  $X$ ,*

then  $gp(Y) = F(Y)$ .

In the study of free topological groups the class of  $k_\omega$ -spaces plays a central role.

DEFINITIONS. A Hausdorff space  $X$  is said to be a  $k_\omega$ -space [7] if it has a countable family of compact subspaces  $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots$ , such that  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  and a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is closed if and only if  $A \cap X_n$  is closed for all  $n$ . We call  $X = \bigcup X_n$  a  $k_\omega$ -decomposition.

Note that if a subspace  $A$  of  $X$  is compact, then  $A \subseteq X_n$  for some  $n$ .

THEOREM B. [5,7] If  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space then  $F(X)$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space with  $k_\omega$ -decomposition  $F(X) = \bigcup F_n(X)$ .

We shall use the following result.

LEMMA. [6, p. 127] For any  $w \in F(X) \setminus \{e\}$  there is an  $l \in F(X)$  and  $c \in F(X) \setminus \{e\}$  such that  $w = lc l^{-1}$  where  $c$  has reduced form  $c = x_1 \dots x_n$  with  $x_i \in X \setminus \{e\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , and  $x_1 \neq x_n^{-1}$ . Further, for any  $t \geq 1$ ,  $w^t = l c^t l^{-1}$  and  $c^t$  has reduced form  $x_1 \dots x_n x_1 \dots x_n \dots x_1 \dots x_n$ .

Moreover, either  $l = e$  or  $l c^t l^{-1}$  is the reduced form of  $w^t$ .

### 3. Results

Our first result generalizes Theorem A above and also Lemma 3.6 of [9].

THEOREM 1. Let  $F(X)$  be the Graev free topological group on a Tychonoff space  $X$ . Let  $Y \ni \{e\}$  be a compact subspace of  $F(X)$  such that  $Y \setminus \{e\}$  is an algebraic free basis for the group it generates. If  $Y$  is regularly situated with respect to  $X$ , then  $gp(Y)$  is the Graev free topological group on  $Y$ .

Proof. Let  $F(\beta X)$  be the Graev free topological group on the Stone-Čech compactification of  $X$  and  $\phi$  the continuous injective

homomorphism of  $F(X)$  into  $F(\beta X)$  induced by the canonical embedding of  $X$  in  $\beta X$ .

Clearly  $\Phi(Y)$  is a compact subspace of  $F(\beta X)$  such that  $\Phi(Y) \setminus \{e\}$  is a free algebraic basis for  $gp(\Phi(Y))$  and  $\Phi(Y)$  is regularly situated with respect to  $\beta X$ . Therefore by Theorem A,  $gp(\Phi(Y)) = F(\Phi(Y)) = F(Y)$ .

As  $\Phi$  is a continuous injective homomorphism of  $gp(Y) \subseteq F(X)$  onto  $gp(\Phi(Y)) = F(Y)$  the topology of  $gp(Y)$  is finer than the free topology of  $F(Y)$ . But this implies  $gp(Y) = F(Y)$ , as required.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be any Tychonoff space and  $F(X)$  the Graev free topological group on  $X$ . Let  $y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots$  be a non-trivial sequence in  $F(X)$  converging to  $e$ . If  $Y = (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{y_n\} \cup \{e\})$  then  $gp(Y)$  has a closed subgroup topologically isomorphic to  $F(Y)$ .*

**Proof.** By Theorem 1 it suffices to find a subsequence  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots$ , such that the compact space  $Z = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{z_i\} \cup \{e\})$  is regularly situated with respect to  $X$  and  $Z \setminus \{e\}$  is a free algebraic basis for  $gp(Z)$ .

We choose the subsequence as follows. Let  $\beta X$ ,  $F(\beta X)$ , and  $\Phi$  be as in the proof of the previous result. As  $\Phi(Y)$  is a compact subspace of  $F(\beta X)$  and  $F(\beta X)$  is a  $k_{\omega}$ -space,  $\Phi(Y) \subseteq F_N(\beta X)$  for some  $N$ , by Theorem B and the note that precedes it. Hence  $Y \subseteq F_N(X)$  for this  $N$ . Therefore there is a subsequence of distinct words  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots$ , each of which lies in  $F_M(X) \setminus F_{M-1}(X)$  for some fixed  $M \leq N$ . By the Lemma in

§2 we can find reduced words  $l_i$  and  $c_i$  with  $c_i \neq e$  such that

$z_i^t = l_i c_i^t l_i^{t-1}$ , for  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ , and either this is the reduced form of  $z_i^t$  or  $l_i = e$  and  $z_i^t = c_i^t$  in reduced form. Since the  $l_i$  have lengths  $\leq M$  we can choose a subsequence of  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots$ , for which the  $l_i$  have the same length. Relabelling, we again denote the subsequence by  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots$ . Either there are infinitely many distinct  $l_i$  and relabelling we assume the sequence  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots$ , satisfies  $l_i \neq l_j$ ,

$l_i \neq l_j^{-1}$  and  $l_i \neq e$  for all  $i$  and  $j \neq i$ , or we can choose a subsequence of the  $z_i$  such that, with relabelling,  $l_i = l$ , a fixed word, for all  $i$ .

If  $l_i = l$  for all  $i$ , the  $c_i$  are all distinct and have fixed length and we choose a further subsequence of  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots$ , as follows. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_q$  be the essential elements of  $l$ . We now choose a subsequence of the  $c_i$ 's.

Let  $X_1 = \{x \in X \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_q\} : x \text{ is an essential element of } z_i, \text{ for some } i \geq 1\}$ . Since each  $z_i \in F_N(X)$  and the  $z_i$  are distinct,  $X_1$  is countably infinite. Define  $G(z_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , inductively as follows. Let  $G(z_1) = \{x \in X_1 : x \text{ is an essential element of } z_1\}$ . Having defined  $G(z_i)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let

$$G(z_{k+1}) = \{x \in X_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k G(z_i) : x \text{ is an essential element of } z_{k+1}\}.$$

Thus  $G(z_i) \cap G(z_j) = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G(z_i) = X_1$ , and  $G(z_i)$  has at most  $N$  elements for each  $i$ . So  $G(z_i) \neq \emptyset$  for an infinite number of  $z_i$ . Deleting the  $z_i$  for which  $G(z_i) = \emptyset$  and relabelling the sequence thus obtained, we can assume that  $G(z_i) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i$ . Now given any subsequence  $T$  of  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots$ , and  $z_{i_1}, \dots, z_{i_{N+1}}$  there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$  and  $x \in G(z_{i_j})$  and a subsequence  $T_1$  of  $T$  such that  $x$  is not an essential element of any term  $z_i$  of  $T_1$ . This follows since  $z_k \in F_N(X)$  for all terms  $z_k$  of  $T$  and the  $G(z_{i_j})$  are non-empty and pairwise disjoint for  $j \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$ . Denote the sequence  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots$  by  $S_1$  and let  $z_{i_1}$  be the first term of  $S_1$  for which there exists  $b_1 \in G(z_{i_1})$  and a subsequence  $S_2$  of  $S_1$  such that  $b_1$  is not an essential element of any term of  $S_2$ . Let  $z_{i_2}$  be the first term of  $S_2$  for which there exists  $b_2 \in G(z_{i_2})$  and a

subsequence  $S_3$  of  $S_2$  such that  $b_2$  is not an essential element of any term of  $S_3$ . Continue this process inductively. Relabelling  $z_{i_j}$  as  $z_j$  and  $c_{i_j}$  as  $c_j$ , we obtain a sequence  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots$ , converging to  $e$ . Further, as  $b_i \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_q\}$ ,  $b_i$  is an essential element of  $c_i$  but  $b_i$  is not an essential element of  $c_j$  for  $j \neq i$ . So  $z_i = l c_i l^{-1}$  and  $c_i = d_i^{-1} f_i g_i$  where  $b_i$  is not an essential element of  $d_i$  or  $g_i$ , and  $f_i$  begins and ends with elements from the set  $\{b_i, b_i^{-1}\}$ . Moreover this is the reduced form of  $c_i$  with respect to  $X$  provided  $d_i^{-1}$  is deleted if  $d_i = e$  and  $g_i$  is deleted if  $g_i = e$ .

We now show that in both cases ( $l_i = l$  for all  $i$  and  $l_j \neq l_i \neq l_j^{-1}$  for all  $i \neq j$ ) the set  $Z$  is regularly situated with respect to  $X$  and  $Z \setminus \{e\}$  is a free algebraic basis for  $gp(Z)$ . We do this by verifying the following: if  $w_n \in gp(Z)$  has reduced form  $z_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots z_{i_n}^{\epsilon_n}$  with respect to  $Z$ , where  $\epsilon_j = \pm 1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , then the length of  $w_n$  with respect to  $X$  is at least  $n$ . We proceed by induction.

If all the  $l_i$  are distinct the induction hypothesis is that, with respect to  $X$ ,  $w_n$  has reduced form  $l_{i_1} u_n c_{i_n}^{\epsilon_n} l_{i_n}^{-1}$  where  $u_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , contains the words  $c_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}, \dots, c_{i_{n-1}}^{\epsilon_{n-1}}$  and  $u_1 = e$ . This is clear for  $n = 1$ , so assume it is true for  $n = k$ .

Let  $w_{k+1} \in gp(Z)$  have reduced form  $z_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots z_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k} z_{i_{k+1}}^{\epsilon_{k+1}}$  with respect to  $Z$ . Thus  $w_{k+1} = w_k z_{i_{k+1}}^{\epsilon_{k+1}} = l_{i_1} u_k c_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k} l_{i_k}^{-1} l_{i_{k+1}} c_{i_{k+1}}^{\epsilon_{k+1}} l_{i_{k+1}}^{-1}$ . Let  $l_{i_k}^{-1} l_{i_{k+1}} = v$  and  $u_{k+1} = u_k c_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k} v$ . Since  $l_{i_k}$  and  $l_{i_{k+1}}$  have the same

length,  $w_{k+1}$  has reduced form  $l_i u_{k+1} c_{i_{k+1}}^{l_i^{-1}}$ , with respect to  $X$ .

(Note that if  $z_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k} = z_{i_{k+1}}^{\epsilon_{k+1}}$  then  $v = e$  and  $c_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k} = c_{i_{k+1}}^{\epsilon_{k+1}}$  so no

cancellation can occur between  $c_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k}$  and  $c_{i_{k+1}}^{\epsilon_{k+1}}$ .) This completes the

proof for the case of distinct  $l_i$ .

Assume now that  $l_i = l \neq e$  for all  $i$ . Let  $h_{i_n} = g_{i_n}$  if

$\epsilon_n = 1$  and  $h_{i_n} = d_{i_n}$  if  $\epsilon_n = -1$ . The induction hypothesis is that

$w_n$  has representation  $l u_n f_{i_n}^{\epsilon_n} h_{i_n} l^{-1}$  where  $u_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , contains the

words  $f_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1}, \dots, f_{i_{n-1}}^{\epsilon_{n-1}}$  and  $u_1 = t^{-1}$  where  $t = d_{i_1}$  if  $\epsilon_1 = 1$  and

$t = g_{i_1}$  if  $\epsilon_1 = -1$ . The induction hypothesis further asserts that this

representation is reduced, with respect to  $X$ , provided the term  $h_{i_n}$

is deleted if  $h_{i_n} = e$  and the term  $u_1$  is deleted if  $u_1 = e$ . Let

$w_{k+1} \in gp(Z)$  have reduced representation  $z_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \dots z_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k} z_{i_{k+1}}^{\epsilon_{k+1}}$  with respect

to  $Z$ . Thus  $w_{k+1} = w_k z_{i_{k+1}}^{\epsilon_{k+1}}$ . We consider the case  $\epsilon_{k+1} = 1$ ; the case

$\epsilon_{k+1} = -1$  is similar. Thus  $w_{k+1} = l u_k f_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k} h_{i_k} l^{-1} l d_{i_{k+1}}^{-1} f_{i_{k+1}} g_{i_{k+1}} l^{-1}$ .

Let  $h_{i_k} d_{i_{k+1}}^{-1} = v$  in reduced form with respect to  $X$  and

$u_{k+1} = u_k f_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k} v$ . If  $f_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k} = f_{i_{k+1}}$  then  $c_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k} = c_{i_{k+1}}$ . Then by choice of

$c_i$  and  $f_i$ ,  $f_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k} v f_{i_{k+1}}$  is in reduced form with respect to  $X$ , except

possibly  $v = e$ , and the result follows. Otherwise the result follows

by noting that  $f_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k}$  ends in  $b_{i_k}^{\delta_k}$  and  $f_{i_{k+1}}$  begins with  $b_{i_{k+1}}^{\delta_{k+1}}$ , where



$\delta_k, \delta_{k+1} \in \{-1, 1\}$  and  $b_{i_k} \neq b_{i_{k+1}}$ .

If  $l_i = e$  for all  $i$  we repeat the previous argument deleting the  $l_i$ 's and  $l_i^{-1}$ 's. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following Theorem generalizes Theorem 3.9 of [9].

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $F(X)$  be the Graev free topological group on a Tychonoff space and  $G$  a subgroup of  $F(X)$ . If  $G$  is a sequential space then it is sequential of order  $\omega_1$  or is discrete.*

**Proof.** As  $G$  is sequential its sequential order is  $\leq \omega_1$ .

Either  $G$  is discrete or  $G$  contains a non-trivial sequence  $y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots$ , convergent to a point  $y \in G$ . Multiplying the  $y_i$ 's by  $y^{-1}$  and relabelling  $y^{-1}y_i$  as  $y_i$  we can assume the sequence  $y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots$ , converges to  $e$ . By Theorem 2,  $G \supseteq F(Z)$  which is a  $k_\omega$ -group and hence closed. Thus by Theorem 3.7 of [9],  $G$  contains  $S_\omega$  a space of sequential order  $\omega_1$ . Hence  $G$  is sequential of order  $\omega_1$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $F(X)$  be the Graev free topological group on a Tychonoff space  $X$  and  $G$  a metrizable or Frechet subgroup of  $F(X)$ . Then  $G$  is discrete.*

**Remark.** The analogue of Theorem 2 for Graev free abelian topological groups is also true.

**Proof.** Once again there exists an integer  $N$  such that  $y_i \in F_N(X)$ , for all  $i$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2, since each  $y_i$  has only a finite number of essential elements it is possible to choose a subsequence  $z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots$ , such that  $b_i$  is an essential element of  $z_i$  but not of any  $z_j$ ,  $j \neq i$ . It is obvious in the abelian case that if  $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots\} \cup \{e\}$ , any word  $w$  in  $gp(Z)$  has reduced length with respect to  $X$  greater than or equal to its reduced length with respect to  $Z \setminus \{e\}$ . Hence  $gp(Z)$  is the free abelian topological group on  $Z$ , as required.  $\square$

As a consequence of this we see that the analogues for Graev free abelian topological groups of Theorem 3 and Corollary 1 are also true. (Note that the proof of the abelian analogue of Theorem 3.7 of [9] is similar to the non-abelian case.)

Finally we note that it is easily verified that the analogues for Markov free topological groups [8] of Theorems 2 and 3 and Corollary 1 are also valid.

### References

- [1] A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ and S.P. Franklin, "Ordinal invariants for topological spaces", *Michigan Math. J.* 15 (1968), 313-320.
- [2] Ryszard Engelking, *General topology*, (Mathematical Monographs, 60 P.W.N. - Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw 1977).
- [3] S.P. Franklin, "Spaces in which sequences suffice", *Fund. Math.* 57 (1965), 107-115.
- [4] S.P. Franklin, "Spaces in which sequences suffice II", *Fund Math.* 61 (1967), 51-56.
- [5] M.I. Graev, "Free topological groups", *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 12 (1948), 279-324 (Russian), English transl. Amer. Math. Soc. Translation no. 35, 61 pp. (1951), Reprint, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (1) 8 (1962), 305-364.
- [6] A.G. Kurosh, *Theory of Groups*, Vol. 1 (Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1960),
- [7] John Mack, Sidney A. Morris and Edward T. Ordman, "Free topological groups and the projective dimension of a locally compact abelian group", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 40 (1973), 303-308.
- [8] Sidney A. Morris, "Free abelian topological groups", *Categorical Topology Proc. Conference Toledo, Ohio*, (1983) 375-391 (Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1984).
- [9] Edward T. Ordman and Barbara V. Smith-Thomas, "Sequential conditions and free topological groups", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 79 (1980), 319-326.

Department of Mathematics,  
La Trobe University,  
Bundoora, Vic. 3083  
Australia.

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Queensland,  
St. Lucia, Qld. 4067,  
Australia.