

## FREE PRODUCTS OF TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS WITH AMALGAMATION. II

ELYAHU KATZ AND SIDNEY A. MORRIS

The fundamental problem is to determine if the free product with amalgamation of Hausdorff topological groups exists and is Hausdorff. This is known to be true if the subgroup being amalgamated is central or if all groups concerned are  $k_\omega$  and the amalgamation subgroup is compact. In this paper a general result is proved which allows one to move outside the class of compact or central amalgamations. Using this result it follows, for example, that the amalgamated free product  $F * _A G$  exists and is Hausdorff if  $F$ ,  $G$  and  $A$  are  $k_\omega$ -groups and  $A$  is the product of a central subgroup and a compact subgroup.

**1. Introduction.** The fundamental problem in this subject is to prove that the free product with amalgamation of any Hausdorff topological group exists, is Hausdorff and its underlying group structure is the amalgamated free product of the underlying groups. There have been three contributions to this problem. The first was by Ordman [9] who settled the problem for some locally invariant Hausdorff groups. The case when the amalgamated subgroup is central was settled in Khan and Morris [2]. In Katz and Morris [1] the first step was made towards handling the important class of  $k_\omega$ -groups. There the case where the groups are  $k_\omega$ -spaces and the amalgamated subgroup is compact is dealt with. In this paper we show that the condition that  $A$  be compact can be weakened.

We denote by  $F * _C G$  the free product of the topological groups  $F$  and  $G$  with the common subgroup  $C$  amalgamated. Given  $k_\omega$ -groups  $F$  and  $G$  and a common subgroup  $C$  we define the notion of the triple  $(F, G; C)$  being beseder. If  $(F, G; C)$  is beseder then it is readily seen that  $F * _C G$  exists, is Hausdorff and has the appropriate algebraic structure. The main theorem says that  $(F, G; C)$  is beseder if  $C = A \cdot B$  where  $(F, G; A)$  and  $(F, G; B)$  are beseder and  $A$  is compact. So this theorem provides a procedure for progressively enlarging the family of known beseder triples.

In Katz and Morris [1] it is proved that if  $A$  is a compact subgroup of the  $k_\omega$ -groups  $F$  and  $G$  then  $(F, G; A)$  is beseder. Using results of Khan and Morris [2, 3] we prove here that if  $B$  is a closed central subgroup of the  $k_\omega$ -groups  $F$  and  $G$  then the triple  $(F, G; B)$  is beseder. Thus we can

deduce from the main theorem that if the  $k_\omega$ -groups  $F$  and  $G$  have a compact subgroup  $A$  and a central subgroup  $B$  then  $(F, G; AB)$  is beseder.

Indeed in the above example if  $C$  is a compact subgroup of  $F$  and  $G$  such that  $ABC$  is also a subgroup of  $F$  and  $G$  then  $(F, G; ABC)$  is beseder. (This result cannot be deduced in one step from the theorem as  $AC$  is not necessarily a group.) This procedure can be used repeatedly.

**2. Definitions and notation.** The standard references for amalgamated free products of groups are B. H. Neumann [6] and Magnus, Karrass and Solitar [4].

**DEFINITION.** Let  $C$  be a common subgroup of topological groups  $F$  and  $G$ . The topological group  $F *_C G$  is said to be *the free product of the topological groups  $F$  and  $G$  with amalgamated subgroup  $C$*  if

- (i)  $F$  and  $G$  are topological subgroups of  $F *_C G$
- (ii)  $F \cup G$  generates  $F *_C G$  algebraically, and
- (iii) every pair  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  of continuous homomorphisms of  $F$  and  $G$ , respectively, into any topological group  $D$  which agree on  $C$  extend to a continuous homomorphism of  $F *_C G$  into  $D$ .

Throughout the paper we will be dealing with  $k_\omega$ -spaces. Our definition of  $k_\omega$ -space includes Hausdorffness.

Observe that if  $F$  and  $G$  are  $k_\omega$ -groups and  $C$  is a closed common subgroup of  $F$  and  $G$ , then the  $k_\omega$ -decompositions  $F = \bigcup F_n$  and  $G = \bigcup G_n$  can be chosen such that

- (i)  $F_n = F_n^{-1}$  and  $G_n = G_n^{-1}$ ,
- (ii)  $F_n F_m \subseteq F_{n+m}$  and  $G_n G_m \subseteq G_{n+m}$ , and
- (iii)  $C \cap F_n \subseteq G_{n+1}$  and  $C \cap G_n \subseteq F_{n+1}$ .

(In verifying that requirements (ii) and (iii) are always possible recall that if  $F = \bigcup F_n$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space then any compact subset of  $F$  lies entirely in some  $F_n$ .)

Let  $K_C$  be the kernel of the canonical homomorphism of the free product  $F * G \rightarrow F *_C G$ . Then  $K_C$  is the normal subgroup generated by  $\{f(c)g(c)^{-1} : c \in C\}$ , where  $f$  and  $g$  are the embedding maps of  $C$  in  $F$  and  $G$  respectively. We let  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  where

$$X_n = \left\{ uvu^{-1} : u \in (F_n \cup G_n)^n, v = f(c)g(c)^{-1} \text{ or } v = g(c)f(c)^{-1} \text{ and } f(c) \in F_n \text{ and } g(c) \in G_n \right\}$$

and  $Y_n = (X_n)^n$ ; that is, the set of all words which are a product of at most  $n$  elements of  $X_n$ .

Each  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$  is compact and  $K_C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$ .

### 3. The Main Theorem.

DEFINITION. Let  $C$  be a common closed subgroup of  $k_\omega$ -groups  $F$  and  $G$ . The triple  $(F, G; C)$  is said to be *beseder*<sup>1</sup> if for each positive integer  $n$  there exists an integer  $m$  such that

$$K_C \cap (F_n \cup G_n)^n \subseteq Y_m$$

where  $(F_n \cup G_n)^n \subseteq F * G$ , and  $K_C$  and  $Y_m$  are as previously defined.

REMARK. If the triple  $(F, G; C)$  is beseder then it is easily checked that the amalgamated free product  $F *_C G$  exists and is Hausdorff.

EXAMPLE. It is shown in Katz and Morris [1] that if  $F$  and  $G$  are  $k_\omega$ -groups and  $C$  is a common compact subgroup then the triple  $(F, G; C)$  is beseder.

THEOREM 1. Let  $F$  and  $G$  be  $k_\omega$ -groups with a common closed subgroup  $C = A \cdot B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are subgroups of  $C$ . If  $A$  is compact and  $B$  is such that the triple  $(F, G; B)$  is beseder then  $(F, G; C)$  is beseder.

*Proof.* Let  $f, f_1$  and  $f_2$  be the embeddings of  $C, A$  and  $B$ , respectively, into  $F$ . Let  $g, g_1$  and  $g_2$  be the embeddings of  $C, A$  and  $B$ , respectively into  $G$ . Let  $K_C, K_A$  and  $K_B$  be the kernels of the canonical maps  $F * G \rightarrow F *_C G, F * G \rightarrow F *_A G$ , and  $F * G \rightarrow F *_B G$ , respectively.

Since  $f(A)$  is compact and so is in  $F_n$ , for some  $n$ , we can assume that  $f(A) \subseteq F_1$  and, similarly,  $g(A) \subseteq G_1$ .

Let  $w \in (F_n \cup G_n)^n \cap K_C$ . Then, by Proposition 2 of [1],  $w$  has a representation

$$\begin{aligned} w &= (t_{1,1} \cdots t_{1,q_1} f(c_1) g(c_1)^{-1} t_{1,q_1}^{-1} \cdots t_{1,1}^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdots (t_{s,1} \cdots t_{s,q_s} f(c_s) g(c_s)^{-1} t_{s,q_s}^{-1} \cdots t_{s,1}^{-1}) \\ &= B_0 \cdot B_1 \cdots B_s \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_0 = t_{1,1} \cdots t_{1,q_1} f(c_1)$$

$$B_i = g(c_i)^{-1} t_{i,q_i}^{-1} \cdots t_{i,1}^{-1} t_{i+1,1} \cdots t_{i+1,q_{i+1}} f(c_{i+1}), \quad 1 \leq i < s,$$

and

$$B_s = g(c_s)^{-1} t_{s,q_s}^{-1} \cdots t_{s,1}^{-1}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>A Hebrew word meaning "okay".

It is shown in [1] that the representation  $(*)$  can be chosen such that the reduced form of  $w$  is the juxtaposition of the reduced forms of the blocks  $B_i$ .

Let  $c_i = b_i a_i$  where  $a_i \in A$  and  $b_i \in B$ . Put

$$w' = \left( t_{1,1} \cdots t_{1,q_1} f(b_1) g(b_1)^{-1} t_{1,q_1}^{-1} \cdots t_{1,1}^{-1} \right) \\ \cdots \left( t_{s,1} \cdots t_{s,q_s} f(b_s) g(b_s)^{-1} t_{s,q_s}^{-1} \cdots t_{s,1}^{-1} \right).$$

Clearly  $w$  and  $w'$  have the same image in  $F *_A G$  which is topologically isomorphic to  $(F *_A G)/K_A$ . Therefore  $w = w' \cdot d$ , where  $d \in K_A$ .

The reduced form of  $w$  is just the juxtaposition of the reduced forms of the blocks  $B_0, \dots, B_s$ . Consider one block,  $B_i$ , say. As in the proof of Proposition 4 of [1], each element in the reduced form of  $w$  and hence of  $B_i$  lies in  $F_{n^2} \cup G_{n^2}$ . Thus  $B_i \in (F_{n^2} \cup G_{n^2})^n$ . Now

$$B_i = g(b_i a_i)^{-1} t_{i,q_i}^{-1} \cdots t_{i+1,q_{i+1}} f(b_{i+1} a_{i+1}), \quad i \neq 0, s, \\ = g(a_i)^{-1} g(b_i)^{-1} t_{i,q_i}^{-1} \cdots t_{i+1,q_{i+1}} f(b_{i+1}) f(a_{i+1}) \\ = g(a_i)^{-1} B'_i f(a_{i+1})$$

where  $B'_i$  is defined formally as the  $i$ th block of  $w'$ , in a similar fashion to the definition of  $B_i$ . Also  $B_0 = B'_0 f(a_i)$  and  $B_s = g(a_s)^{-1} B'_s$ .

As  $g(a_i)^{-1}$  and  $f(a_{i+1})$  are in  $F_1 \cup G_1$  we see that

$$B'_i \in (F_{n^2+1} \cup G_{n^2+1})^n.$$

Observe that  $w' = B'_0 \cdots B'_s$  and from [1]  $s \leq n$  so that

$$w' \in (F_{n^2+1} \cup G_{n^2+1})^{n^2}.$$

As  $w \in (F_n \cup G_n)^n$  and  $w = w' \cdot d$  we have that

$$d \in (F_{n^2+1} \cup G_{n^2+1})^{n^2+n} \subseteq (F_{n^2+n} \cup G_{n^2+n})^{n^2+n}.$$

By [1] the triple  $(F, G; A)$  is beseder, and by assumption the triple  $(F, G; B)$  is beseder. So

$$w' \in (F_{n^2+1} \cup G_{n^2+1})^{n^2+1} \cap K_B \quad \text{and} \quad d \in (F_{n^2+n} \cup G_{n^2+n})^{n^2+n} \cap K_A$$

implies that

$w' \in Y_{k_1}^B$  and  $d \in Y_{k_2}^A$ , for some positive integers  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , where  $Y_{k_1}^B$  (and  $Y_{k_2}^A$ ) is defined in a similar fashion to  $Y_k$ .

But  $Y_{k_1}^A \subseteq Y_{k_1}^C$  and  $Y_{k_2}^B \subseteq Y_{k_2}^C$ . Therefore

$$w = w' \cdot d \subseteq Y_{k_1}^C \cdot Y_{k_2}^C \subseteq Y_{k_1+k_2}^C.$$

So  $(F, G; C)$  is a beseder triple, as required.

REMARK. Theorem 1 allows us to prove the Hausdorffness of some free products with non-compact amalgamation. Obviously if  $A$  and  $B$  are compact, then  $C$  is compact and Theorem 1 does not give anything new. Thus we need another class of beseder triples  $(F, G; B)$  where  $B$  is not compact. One such class is produced in §4.

**4. Central amalgamations.** In [2] Khan and Morris proved that if  $F$  and  $G$  are any Hausdorff groups and  $A$  is a common closed central subgroup, then  $F *_A G$  is Hausdorff. We use the proof of this theorem to show that if  $F$  and  $G$  are  $k_\omega$ -groups, then the triple  $(F, G; B)$  is beseder.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $F$  and  $G$  be  $k_\omega$ -groups with a common closed central subgroup  $B$ . Then the triple  $(F, G; B)$  is beseder.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $K \cap (F_n \cup G_n)^n \subseteq Y_m$ , for some  $m$ , where  $K$  is the kernel of the canonical map  $F *_B G \rightarrow F *_B G$  and  $Y_m$  is what we previously called  $Y_m^B$ .

By Morris, Ordman and Thompson [5] for each fixed  $n$  there exists a positive integer  $r \geq n$  such that

$$(F_n \cup G_n)^n \subseteq F_r \cdot G_r \cdot \text{gp}_r[F_r, G_r]$$

where  $[F_r, G_r] = \{f^{-1}g^{-1}fg : f \in F_r, g \in G_r\}$  and  $\text{gp}_r[F_r, G_r]$  denotes the set of elements which are products of at most  $r$  elements of  $[F_r, G_r]$  and their inverses.

By Proposition 1 of [3] we observe that an element

$$\omega = f \cdot g \cdot [f_1, g_1]^{\epsilon_1} [f_2, g_2]^{\epsilon_2} \cdots [f_s, g_s]^{\epsilon_s},$$

where each  $\epsilon_i = 1$  or  $-1$ , is in  $K$  if and only if for some  $b \in B$ ,  $f = f(b)$ ,  $g = g(b)^{-1}$  and

$$\omega' = [f_1, g_1]^{\epsilon_1} [f_2, g_2]^{\epsilon_2} \cdots [f_s, g_s]^{\epsilon_s} \in \text{ngp}([F, g(B)] \cup [f(B), G]),$$

the normal subgroup generated by  $[F, g(B)] \cup [f(B), G]$ . For convenience write  $[f_1, g_1]^{\epsilon_1} \cdots [f_s, g_s]^{\epsilon_s}$  as

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k_1} y_1 x_{k_1+1} x_{k_1+2} \cdots x_{k_2} y_2 x_{k_2+1} \cdots x_{k_l},$$

where each  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  is  $[f_j, g_j]^{\epsilon_j}$ , for some  $j$ , and we write  $x_i$  if  $f_j \notin f(B)$  and  $g_j \notin g(B)$  and  $y_i$ , otherwise. (So any  $k_i$  may be zero).

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \omega' &= (x_1 \cdots x_{k_1} y_1 x_{k_1}^{-1} \cdots x_1^{-1}) (x_1 \cdots x_{k_1} x_{k_1+1} \cdots x_{k_2} y_2 x_{k_2}^{-1} \cdots x_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdots (x_1 \cdots x_{k_1} x_{k_1+1} \cdots x_{k_2} x_{k_2+1} \cdots x_{k_{l-1}} y_{l-1} x_{k_{l-1}}^{-1} \cdots x_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_{k_1} x_{k_1+1} \cdots x_{k_2} x_{k_2+1} \cdots x_{k_{l-1}} x_{k_{l-1}+1} \cdots x_{k_l}). \end{aligned}$$

The image of each  $y_i$  is  $e$  in  $F *_B G$ , since  $B$  is central in  $F$  and  $G$ , so the image in  $F *_B G$  of each of all but the last bracket is  $e$ . As the image of the whole word is  $e$ , the image of the last bracket is also  $e$ . But Proposition 1 of [2] shows this is possible if and only if the last bracket equals  $e$ .

Observe that each  $y_i$  is of the form  $f(b_i)^{-1}c^{-1}f(b_i)c$  or  $d^{-1}g(b_i)^{-1}dg(b)$  (or the inverse of one of these), where  $b_i \in B$ ,  $c \in G$  and  $d \in F$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= f(b_i)^{-1}c^{-1}f(b_i)c = (f(b_i)^{-1}g(b_i))(c^{-1}g(b_i)^{-1}f(b_i)c) \\ &= (f(b_i^{-1})g(b_i^{-1})^{-1})(c^{-1}g(b_i^{-1})f(b_i^{-1})^{-1}c) = z_i \cdot z'_i, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= (f(b)g(b)^{-1}) \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_{k_1} z_1 x_{k_1}^{-1} \cdots x_1^{-1}) \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_{k_1} z'_1 x_{k_1}^{-1} \cdots x_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_{k_1} x_{k_1+1} \cdots x_{k_2} z_2 x_{k_2}^{-1} \cdots x_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_{k_1} x_{k_1+1} \cdots x_{k_2} z'_2 x_{k_2}^{-1} \cdots x_1^{-1}) \cdots \\ &\quad \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_{k_1} x_{k_1+1} \cdots x_{k_l} \cdots x_{k_{l-1}} z_{l-1} x_{k_{l-1}}^{-1} \cdots x_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_{k_1} \cdots x_{k_{l-1}} z'_{l-1} x_{k_{l-1}}^{-1} \cdots x_1^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $f(b_i) \in F_n$  implies  $g(b_i) \in G_{n+1}$  we see that each bracketed term is an element of  $X_{5r}$ . (See §2.) [Recall that each  $x_i$  is an  $[f_j, g_j]$  where  $f_j$  and  $g_j \in F_r \cup G_r$ .] As there are at most  $2r + 1$  bracketed terms,  $\omega$  clearly lies in  $Y_{5r}$ . Thus

$$K \cap F_r \cdot G_r \cdot \text{gp}_r[F_r, G_r] \subseteq Y_{5r}.$$

So

$$K \cap (F_n \cup G_n)^n \subseteq Y_{5r}.$$

This completes the proof.

**5. Conclusion and problems.** We now apply Theorems 1 and 2 to some specific examples. In so doing we reveal the Hausdorffness of some amalgamated free products.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $F$  and  $G$  be  $k_\omega$ -groups with a common subgroup  $C$  such that  $C = AB$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are subgroups of  $C$ . If  $A$  is compact and  $B$  is closed and central in  $F$  and  $G$ , then  $F *_C G$  is a  $k_\omega$ -group.*

**REMARK.** The above Corollary includes the important special case that  $C$  is the direct product of  $A$  and  $B$ .

In this paper we have applied Theorem 1 in the case that  $B$  is a central subgroup of  $F$  and  $G$ , because we proved that this yields a beseder triple  $(F, G; B)$ . So we would like to know precisely what conditions, other than centrality or compactness of  $B$ , give rise to a beseder triple.

*Problem 1.* (a) Under what conditions on  $F$ ,  $G$  and  $B$  is  $(F, G; B)$  a beseder triple?

(b) In particular, if  $F *_B G$  is a  $k_\omega$ -group (and has the appropriate algebraic structure) is  $(F, G; B)$  necessarily a beseder triple?

In Theorem 1, in order to show that  $(F, G; C)$  is a beseder triple we required not only that  $(F, G; A)$  and  $(F, G; B)$  are beseder triples but also that  $A$  be compact.

*Problem 2.* (a) Let  $A$  and  $B$  be common closed subgroups of  $k_\omega$ -groups  $F$  and  $G$  such that  $C = AB$  is a closed subgroup of  $F$  and  $G$ . If  $(F, G; A)$  and  $(F, G; B)$  are beseder triples, is  $(F, G; C)$  necessarily a beseder triple?

(b) If the answer to (a) is in the negative, is it true under some condition on  $A$  weaker than compactness?

Another way to move outside the compactness restriction is to put restrictions on  $F$  and  $G$ . In particular, it is interesting to consider the case when  $F$  and  $G$  are free topological groups. It is readily proved that  $F(X) *_A F(Y)$  is Hausdorff if  $F(X)$  and  $F(Y)$  are free topological groups on completely regular Hausdorff spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  and  $A$  is the free topological group on  $Z = X \cap Y$ . This is clear since  $F(X) *_A F(Y)$  is the free topological group  $F(X \cup_A Y)$ ; where  $X \cup_A Y$  is an adjunction space. [In general the subgroups of  $F(X)$  and  $F(Y)$  generated by  $Z$  do not have the same topology. They do, for example, when both are the free topological group on  $Z$ . Two cases when this occurs are when  $Z$  is compact or when  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $k_\omega$ -spaces and  $X \cap Y$  is closed in  $X$  and  $Y$ .] However we do not know if  $F(X) *_A F(Y)$  is Hausdorff when we do not assume that  $Z = X \cap Y$  but only that the group generated by  $Z$  is a closed subgroup of  $F(X)$  and  $F(Y)$ .

*Problem 3.* (a) If  $F(X)$  and  $F(Y)$  are Hausdorff free topological groups with a common closed free topological subgroup  $F(Z)$ , is  $F(X) *_F(Y) F(Y)$  necessarily Hausdorff?

(b) Is (a) true under the additional assumption that  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $k_\omega$ -spaces?

(c) Is (b) true under the additional assumption that  $Z$  is compact?

Nickolas [7] observed that the map  $(x, y) \rightarrow xyx$  from  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow F([0, 1])$  extends to an embedding  $\alpha$  of  $F([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$  into  $F([0, 1])$ . Nickolas [8] also proved that there is an embedding  $\beta$  of  $F((0, 1))$  into  $F([0, 1])$ .

*Problem 4.* (a) Is  $F([0, 1]) *_{F([0, 1] \times [0, 1])} F([0, 1])$  Hausdorff?

(b) Is  $F([0, 1]) *_{F((0, 1))} F([0, 1])$  Hausdorff where the embedding is given by  $\beta$ ?

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Elyahu Katz and Sidney A. Morris, *Free products of topological groups with amalgamation*, Pacific J. Math., **119** (1985), 169–180.
- [2] M. S. Khan and Sidney A. Morris, *Free products of topological groups with central amalgamation I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **273** (1982), 405–416.
- [3] ———, *Free products of topological groups with central amalgamation II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **273** (1982), 417–432.
- [4] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, (Dover Publ. Inc., New York, 1976.)
- [5] Sidney A. Morris, E. T. Ordman and H. B. Thompson, *The Topology of Free Products of Topological Groups*, Proc. Second Internat. Conf. on the theory of groups, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **372** (1974), 504–515.
- [6] B. H. Neumann, *An essay on free products with amalgamations*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London (A), **246** (1954), 503–554.
- [7] Peter Nickolas, *Subgroups of the free topological group on  $[0, 1]$* , J. London Math. Soc., (2) **12** (1976), 199–205.
- [8] ———, *A Kurosh subgroup theorem for topological groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **42** (1981), 461–467.
- [9] E. T. Ordman, *Free products of topological groups with equal uniformities I*, Colloq. Math., **31** (1974), 37–43.

Received July 9, 1981 and in revised form July 4, 1984.

CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY  
CLEVELAND, OH 44115

AND

LA TROBE UNIVERSITY  
BUNDOORA, VICTORIA, 3083  
AUSTRALIA



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

CHARLES R. DEPRIMA  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, CA 91125

R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721

RAMESH A. GANGOLLI  
University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98195

ROBION KIRBY  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

HAROLD STARK  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Ulrich F. Albrecht, <a href="#">A note on locally <math>A</math>-projective groups</a> .....	1
Marilyn Breen, <a href="#">A Krasnosel'skiĭ-type theorem for unions of two starshaped sets in the plane</a> .....	19
Anthony Carbery, Sun-Yung Alice Chang and John Brady Garnett, <a href="#">Weights and <math>L \log L</math></a> .....	33
Joanne Marie Dombrowski, <a href="#">Tridiagonal matrix representations of cyclic self-adjoint operators. II</a> .....	47
Heinz W. Engl and Werner Römisch, <a href="#">Approximate solutions of nonlinear random operator equations: convergence in distribution</a> .....	55
P. Ghez, R. Lima and J. E. Roberts, <a href="#"><math>W^*</math>-categories</a> .....	79
Barry E. Johnson, <a href="#">Continuity of homomorphisms of Banach <math>G</math>-modules</a> ...	111
Elyahu Katz and Sidney Allen Morris, <a href="#">Free products of topological groups with amalgamation. II</a> .....	123
Neal I. Koblitz, <a href="#"><math>p</math>-adic integral transforms on compact subgroups of <math>C_p</math></a> ....	131
Albert Edward Livingston, <a href="#">A coefficient inequality for functions of positive real part with an application to multivalent functions</a> .....	139
Scott Carroll Metcalf, <a href="#">Finding a boundary for a Hilbert cube manifold bundle</a> .....	153
Jack Ray Porter and R. Grant Woods, <a href="#">When all semiregular <math>H</math>-closed extensions are compact</a> .....	179
Francisco José Ruiz and José Luis Torrea, <a href="#">A unified approach to Carleson measures and <math>A_p</math> weights. II</a> .....	189
Timothy DuWayne Sauer, <a href="#">The number of equations defining points in general position</a> .....	199
John Brendan Sullivan, <a href="#">Universal observability and codimension one subgroups of Borel subgroups</a> .....	215
Akihito Uchiyama, <a href="#">Extension of the Hardy-Littlewood-Fefferman-Stein inequality</a> .....	229